**ABSOLUTE RATING:** Average

**IMPROVEMENT RATING: Below Average** 

Number of Elementary schools with students like ours: 66. The absolute ratings for those schools ranged from average to excellent. For improvement ratings, the range was from unsatisfactory to good.

## **RATINGS OVER A 4-YEAR PERIOD**

Absolute Rating Average

Improvement Rating Below Average

2001 2002

2003 2004

(Definitions of School Rating Terms on Page 4)

# PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Schools With Students Like Ours









English/ **Mathematics** Language Arts

**Mathematics** 

English/ Language Arts

**Advanced** 







**Below Basic** 

## **DEFINITIONS OF CRITICAL TERMS:**

- Advanced Student performance exceeded expectations.
- **Proficient** Student performance met expectations.
- Basic Student performance met minimum performance expectations.
- Below Basic Student performance did not meet minimum performance expectations.

Science scores are to be reported on the 2004 School Report Card. Social studies scores are to be reported on the 2005 School Report Card.

PERCENT OF STUDENTS SCORI	NG BASIC OR AB	OVE ON THE	PACT	
	English/			Social
Student Group	Language Arts	Math	Science	Studies
All students (n=272)	86.8	64.3	N/A	N/A
Students with disabilities other than				
Speech (n=11)	N/A	N/A		
Students without disabilities (n=255)	89.4	67.1		
Gender				
Male (n=135)	90.4	67.2		
Female (n=131)	87	63.8		
Ethnic Group				
African American (n=46)	76.1	42.6		
Hispanic (n=19)	N/A	N/A		
White (n=199)	93	73.9		
Other (n=2)	N/A	N/A		
Lunch Status Group				
Free/reduced-price Lunch (n=84)	84.5	43.5		
Pay for lunch (n=182)	90.7	75.8		

# **SCHOOL PROFILE** INDICATORS OF SCHOOL PERFORMANCE

		Change From	Schools with Students	Median Elementary
	Our School	Last Year	like ours	School
SCHOOL				
Dollars spent per student	\$6,071	N/A	\$5,108	\$5,347
<ul> <li>Prime instructional time</li> </ul>	84.9%	Down from 85.4°	% 90.4%	90.2%
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	22.5 to 1	N/A	20 to 1	18.7 to 1
STUDENTS (n=599)				
Attendance Rate	94.4%	Down from 95.4°	% 96.3%	96.2%
<ul> <li>Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (ELA) off grade level</li> </ul>	4.1%	N/A	3.2%	4.1%
<ul> <li>Students with disabilities other than speech taking PACT (math) off grade level</li> </ul>	2.6%	N/A	2.2%	3.1%
<ul> <li>First graders who attended full day kindergarten</li> </ul>	94.3%	Up from 93.5%	95.1%	96.3%
<ul> <li>Meeting grade 1 and 2 readiness standards</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Retention rate	3%	Up from 1.2%	2.3%	3.6%
TEACHERS (n=45)				
<ul> <li>Professional Development days per teacher</li> </ul>	11 Days	Down from 11.4	7.7 Days	7.6 days
Attendance Rate	93.2%	Down from 93.3°		95.1%
<ul> <li>Teachers with advanced degrees</li> </ul>	40%	Down from 46.5	% 53.6%	47.7%
Continuing contract teachers	80%	Up from 79.1%	86.3%	83.8%
Teachers with out-of-field permits	0%	No change	0%	0.0%
Teachers returning from the previous school year	73.6%	Down from 78%	87.9%	87.2%
Average teacher salary	\$37,809	Up 1.9%	\$38,787	\$37,520

## **SCHOOL FACTS**

		Change From	Schools with Students	Median Elementary
	ur School	Last Year	like ours	School
SCHOOL				
<ul> <li>Percentage of expenditures spent on teacher salaries</li> </ul>	62.7%	N/A	66%	65.3%
<ul> <li>Principal's years at the school</li> </ul>	4	N/A	4	4.0
<ul> <li>Parents attending conferences</li> </ul>	99%	N/A	98.9%	95.6%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	N/A	Good	Good
STUDENTS				
On academic plans	N/A	N/A	33.1%	43.1%
<ul> <li>On academic probation</li> </ul>	N/A	N/A	0%	0.0%
Older than usual for grade	1.3%	Up from 0.9%	0.7%	1.1%
<ul> <li>Suspended or expelled</li> </ul>	0	N/A	1	1
<ul> <li>Gifted and talented</li> </ul>	23.2%	Up from 17.8%	19.1%	11.5%
With disabilities other than speech	7.2%	Up from 3.7%	7.9%	8.4%



# PRINCIPAL'S / SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL REPORT

During the 2000-2001 school year, Michael C. Riley teachers, parents, community leaders and administrators completed a strategic 4-year educational plan. This plan, which focuses on providing quality instruction, making greater use of technology, improving parent involvement and maintaining a safe school environment, will guide the school's improvement efforts. By using an annual planning cycle of planning, action, and reflection, the school is continually evaluating and revising its programs to better meet the needs of all students. We recognize the value of instructional decision-making based on student performance data. As a result, we're pleased to report that an increasing number of our students are successfully meeting or exceeding grade-level standards.

By comparing current student data with the previous year, we see M. C. Riley students achieving instructional gains in several major areas. First, the 2000 PACT results indicate a high percentage of our students are successful with grade-level standards. Student achievement, as measured by the PACT, showed significant improvement in both reading and math over the 1999 scores. The school's gains in reading achievement, as measured by teacher assessments, were also impressive. At year's end, the number of students reading above grade level increased by 6% while students reading below grade level decreased by 7%. Our pre-kindergarten and kindergarten programs continue to prepare high percentages of students to be ready for first grade. This past year, 90% of the M. C. Riley entering first graders demonstrated readiness on the Cognitive Skills Assessment Battery. While pleased with these measures of student performance, our goals for 2001-2002 have been set even higher.

Parent and community involvement continue to be a factor in the success of our school. The school's motto, "Where School and Community Come Together," represents our belief that children are more successful in school when the family is involved. A parent resource room has been established to improve home/school communication and to provide parents with information on topics such as parenting, family literacy and health services. Supported by an active PTO and School Improvement Council, parents and community organizations contributed 15,500 hours of volunteer service.

We are grateful for the commitment the Michael C. Riley staff and community are making to the children and the school. It is this spirit of community that truly makes our school a special place for children to grow and learn. Joshua Parks

### **EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS AND STUDENTS**

EVALUATION OF TEXASTER AND GROBERTO					
Percent	Teachers	Students	Parents		
Satisfied with learning environment	100.0	82.4	(Avail. 2002)		
Satisfied with social and physical environment	97.6	81.3			
Satisfied with home-school relations	92.7	87.5			

### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

Excellent – School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Good – School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Average – School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Below Average – School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Unsatisfactory – School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal.

Michael C Riley Elementary 200 Burnt Church Rd. Bluffton, SC 29910

**Grades** PRE-K-5 Elementary School

Enrollment: 599 Students

**Principal** 

Mr. Joshua Parks 843-706-8300

Superintendent

Herman K. Gaither 843-322-2300

**Board Chair** 

Earl Campbell 843-846-4531

# THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

<b>Annual School</b>
Report Card

2001

School Grade: Average

## South Carolina Performance Goal:

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the five fastest improving systems in the country.

For more information, visit our website at www.myscschools.com

701017